

**INTERACTION PROFILE FOR:
CHLORPYRIFOS, LEAD, MERCURY, AND METHYLMERCURY**

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Public Health Service
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

August 2006

PREFACE

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) mandates that the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) shall assess whether adequate information on health effects is available for the priority hazardous substances. Where such information is not available or under development, ATSDR shall, in cooperation with the National Toxicology Program (NTP), initiate a program of research to determine these health effects. The Act further directs that where feasible, ATSDR shall develop methods to determine the health effects of substances in combination with other substances with which they are commonly found.

To carry out these legislative mandates, ATSDR's Division of Toxicology and Environmental Medicine (DTEM) has developed and coordinated a mixtures program that includes trend analysis to identify the mixtures most often found in environmental media, *in vivo* and *in vitro* toxicological testing of mixtures, quantitative modeling of joint action, and methodological development for assessment of joint toxicity. These efforts are interrelated. For example, the trend analysis suggests mixtures of concern for which assessments need to be conducted. If data are not available, further research is recommended. The data thus generated often contribute to the design, calibration or validation of the methodology. This pragmatic approach allows identification of pertinent issues and their resolution as well as enhancement of our understanding of the mechanisms of joint toxic action. All the information obtained is thus used to enhance existing or developing methods to assess the joint toxic action of environmental chemicals. Over a number of years, ATSDR scientists in collaboration with mixtures risk assessors and laboratory scientists have developed approaches for the assessment of the joint toxic action of chemical mixtures. As part of the mixtures program a series of documents, Interaction Profiles, are being developed for certain priority mixtures that are of special concern to ATSDR.

The purpose of an Interaction Profile is to evaluate data on the toxicology of the "whole" priority mixture (if available) and on the joint toxic action of the chemicals in the mixture in order to recommend approaches for the exposure-based assessment of the potential hazard to public health. Joint toxic action includes additivity and interactions. A weight-of-evidence approach is commonly used in these documents to evaluate the influence of interactions in the overall toxicity of the mixture. The weight-of-evidence evaluations are qualitative in nature, although ATSDR recognizes that observations of toxicological interactions depend greatly on exposure doses and that some interactions appear to have thresholds. Thus, the interactions are evaluated in a qualitative manner to provide a sense of what influence the interactions may have when they do occur.

ATSDR will use the following process for the development of interaction profiles:

- ATSDR will select substances/chemicals for development of interaction profiles through inter/intra agency communications and literature reviews.
- After the selection, a letter will be sent to individuals and agencies on ATSDR's mailing list providing notice of ATSDR's intent to create an interaction profile.
- A notice will also be posted in the Federal Register to inform the public of ATSDR's intent to develop a particular interaction profile.
- The draft interaction profile will undergo both internal and external peer review processes.
- A Federal Register notice will announce the release of the official draft for public comment.
- ATSDR will post a link to the draft interaction profile on its Website, giving the public an opportunity to provide comments.
- ATSDR will review all public comments and revise the draft, as appropriate, before issuing the final version.

CONTRIBUTORS

CHEMICAL MANAGER(S)/AUTHORS:

Hana Pohl, M.D., Ph.D.

ATSDR, Division of Toxicology and Environmental Medicine, Atlanta, GA

Joan Colman, Ph.D.

Syracuse Research Corporation, Syracuse, NY

PEER REVIEW

A peer review panel was assembled for this profile. The panel consisted of the following members:

1. Christopher J. Borgert, Ph.D., Applied Pharmacology and Toxicology, Inc., Consulting & Research Services, Gainesville, FL
2. Kannan Krishnan, Ph.D., Human Toxicology Research Group, University of Montreal, Montreal, PQ, Canada
3. Harihara Mehendale, Ph.D., Department of Toxicology, University of Louisiana, Monroe, LA , U.S.A.

All reviewers were selected in conformity with the conditions for peer review specified in CERCLA Section 104(I)(13).

Scientists from ATSDR have reviewed the peer reviewers' comments and determined which comments will be included in the profile. A listing of the peer reviewers' comments not incorporated in the profile, with a brief explanation of the rationale for their exclusion, exists as part of the administrative record for this compound. A list of databases reviewed and a list of unpublished documents cited are also included in the administrative record.

The citation of the peer review panel should not be understood to imply its approval of the profile's final content. The responsibility for the content of this profile lies with the ATSDR.

SUMMARY

Chlorpyrifos, lead, and mercury/methylmercury were chosen as the subject for this interaction profile because of the likelihood of co-exposure and because of concerns about neurological effects in children co-exposed to these chemicals. Chlorpyrifos is an organophosphorus insecticide widely used for agricultural and indoor and outdoor residential applications in the United States. Its use, however, is being phased out. Mercury (metallic and inorganic) and lead are released to the environment from hazardous waste sites and from mining, smelting, and industrial activities. Metallic and inorganic mercury can be transformed by microorganisms into methylmercury, which bioaccumulates in the food chain. For the general population, and particularly for subsistence fishers and hunters, the most important pathway of exposure to mercury is ingestion of methylmercury in foods. Fish (including tuna, a food commonly eaten by children), other seafood, and marine mammals contain the highest concentrations. Lead, present in the environment primarily as divalent lead compounds, also contaminates the environment due to its release from mining and from deteriorating lead paint and its historical use in gasoline.

No pertinent health effects data or physiologically-based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) models were located for the complete mixture. Therefore, as recommended by ATSDR (2001a) guidance, the exposure-based screening assessment of potential health hazards for this mixture depends on an evaluation of the health effects data and mechanistic data for the individual components and on the joint toxic action and mechanistic data for various combinations of the components. This profile discusses and evaluates the evidence for joint toxic action among binary mixtures of these chemicals. The profile also recommends how to incorporate concerns regarding possible interactions or additivity into public health assessments of people who may be exposed to mixtures of these chemicals.

The primary effect of concern for this mixture is neurological, and the sub-population of concern is children. Neurological effects are the critical effects for chlorpyrifos, lead, and methylmercury, and children are known (for lead and methylmercury) or predicted on the basis of animal studies (for chlorpyrifos) to be more sensitive than adults. Although metallic mercury causes neurological effects when inhaled, this route is of concern primarily for occupational exposure. Children may be exposed to metallic mercury if they play with it after finding it in abandoned warehouses or taking it from school laboratories. Broken thermometers and some electrical switches are other sources of metallic mercury. Some absorption of metallic mercury occurs from dental amalgam fillings, probably following volatilization from the fillings. Clear evidence of adverse effects from this pathway of exposure is lacking, as are joint action studies with the other components of this mixture, so this form of mercury is

not considered further in the interaction profile. The critical effect of inorganic mercury is on the kidney, which is not a sensitive target organ for the other components of the mixture.

Recommendations for screening this mixture for potential hazards to public health include estimating the hazard quotients (ratios of exposures to health guidance values) for the individual components. If only one or if none of the components has a hazard quotient that is at least 0.1, no further assessment of the *joint toxic action* is needed because additivity and/or interactions are unlikely to result in significant health hazard. If the hazard quotients for two or more of the mixture components equal or exceed 0.1, the following procedures are recommended. To screen this mixture for potential neurological health hazard, an endpoint-specific hazard index for neurological effects should be estimated for chlorpyrifos, lead, and methylmercury. The weight-of-evidence (WOE) analysis for interactions among these components indicates that joint toxic action is primarily less than additive or additive and, therefore, does not increase the concern for potential health hazard above that indicated by the hazard index. This diminishes the concern for hazard indexes only slightly above one. Confidence in these WOE analyses ranges from medium to medium-low. A separate hazard quotient is recommended to screen for the renal toxicity of inorganic mercury. The WOE analysis concluded that the influence on the renal toxicity of inorganic mercury by chlorpyrifos may be less than additive and by lead may be greater than additive, but confidence in these conclusions was low and, thus, they have little impact on the assessment of potential hazards.

If the neurological hazard index for chlorpyrifos, lead, and methylmercury is significantly greater than 1, or if the hazard quotient for inorganic mercury is greater than 1, then further evaluation is needed (ATSDR 2001a), using biomedical judgment and community-specific health outcome data. Community health concerns should be considered in further evaluations (ATSDR 1992).

Data needs were identified in this interaction profile especially in the area of mechanistic and toxicological data pertinent to the influence of inorganic mercury on lead's neurological toxicity. Information is also needed regarding the joint toxic action of the three-component sub-mixture (chlorpyrifos, lead, and methylmercury) of particular concern for neurological effects in children.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE.....	ii
CONTRIBUTORS.....	iii
PEER REVIEW.....	iv
SUMMARY.....	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	vii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	viii
LIST OF TABLES.....	viii
LIST OF ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND SYMBOLS.....	ix
1. Introduction.....	1
2. Joint Toxic Action Data for the Mixture of Concern and Component Mixtures.....	5
2.1 Mixture of Concern.....	5
2.2 Component Mixtures.....	5
2.2.1 Chlorpyrifos and Lead.....	6
2.2.2 Chlorpyrifos and Mercury or Methylmercury.....	15
2.2.3 Lead and Mercury or Methylmercury.....	25
2.3 Relevance of the Joint Toxic Action Data and Approaches to Public Health.....	37
2.4 Recommendations for Data Needs.....	50
3. Recommendation for Exposure-Based Assessment of Joint Toxic Action of the Mixture.....	51
4. Conclusions.....	55
5. References.....	56
Appendix A: Background Information for Chlorpyrifos.....	64
A.1 Toxicokinetics.....	64
A.2 Health Effects.....	66
A.3 Mechanisms of Action.....	67
A.4 Health Guidelines.....	68
A.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values.....	69
A.6 References.....	70
Appendix B: Background Information for Lead.....	72
B.1 Toxicokinetics.....	72
B.2 Health Effects.....	72
B.3 Mechanisms of Action.....	73
B.4 Health Guidelines.....	75
B.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values.....	76
B.6 References.....	78
Appendix C: Background Information for Mercury and Methylmercury.....	81
C.1 Toxicokinetics.....	81
C.2 Health Effects.....	82
C.3 Mechanisms of Action.....	84
C.4 Health Guidelines.....	85
C.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values.....	88
C.6 References.....	91
Appendix D: Chemical Structures of Organic Mixture Components.....	93

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Binary Weight-of-Evidence Scheme for the Assessment of Chemical Interactions	39
--	----

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Effect of Chlorpyrifos on Toxicity and Tissue Concentrations of Lead	12
Table 2. Effect of Lead on Toxicity and Tissue Concentrations of Chlorpyrifos	13
Table 3. Effect of Chlorpyrifos on Toxicity and Tissue Concentrations of Mercury	18
Table 4. Effect of Mercury on Toxicity and Tissue Concentrations of Chlorpyrifos	19
Table 5. Effect of Chlorpyrifos on Toxicity and Tissue Concentrations of Methylmercury	23
Table 6. Effect of Methylmercury on Toxicity and Tissue Concentrations of Chlorpyrifos	24
Table 7. Effect of Lead on Toxicity and Tissue Concentrations of Mercury	29
Table 8. Effect of Mercury on Toxicity and Tissue Concentrations of Lead	30
Table 9. Effect of Lead on Toxicity and Tissue Concentrations of Methylmercury	34
Table 10. Effect of Methylmercury on Toxicity and Tissue Concentrations of Lead	36
Table 11. Effect of Chlorpyrifos on Lead : Neurological Toxicity	40
Table 12. Effect of Lead on Chlorpyrifos : Neurological Toxicity	41
Table 13. Effect of Chlorpyrifos on Mercury : Renal Toxicity	42
Table 14. Effect of Mercury on Chlorpyrifos : Renal and Immunological Toxicity	43
Table 15. Effect of Chlorpyrifos on Methylmercury : Neurotoxicity	44
Table 16. Effect of Methylmercury on Chlorpyrifos : Neurotoxicity	45
Table 17. Effect of Lead on Mercury : Renal Toxicity	46
Table 18. Effect of Mercury on Lead : Neurotoxicity	47
Table 19. Effect of Lead on Methylmercury : Neurological Toxicity	48
Table 20. Effect of Methylmercury on Lead : Neurological Toxicity	49
Table 21. MRLs and TTDs for Intermediate and Chronic Oral Exposure to Chemicals of Concern	52
Table 22. Matrix of BINWOE Determinations for Intermediate or Chronic Simultaneous Exposure to Chemicals of Concern	54

LIST OF ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND SYMBOLS

ACGIH	American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists	NOEL	no-observed-effect level
ALA	aminolevulinic acid	NTP	National Toxicology Program
ALAD	aminolevulinic acid dehydratase	OP	organophosphorus compound
ALAS	delta-aminolevulinic acid synthetase	OPP	Office of Pesticide Programs
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry	PAD	population adjusted dose
BINWOE	binary weight-of-evidence	2-PAM	pralidoxime
BUN	blood urea nitrogen	PFC	plaque-forming cells
CAS	Chemical Abstracts Service	Pb	lead
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	pbB	blood lead concentration
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Recovery Act	PBPK	physiologically based pharmacokinetic
Cpf	chlorpyrifos	PBPK/PD	physiologically-based pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic
DT	Division of Toxicology	ppb	parts per billion
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency	ppm	parts per million
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization	RfC	reference concentration
FQPA	Food Quality Protection Act	RfD	reference dose
GABA	gamma-aminobutyric acid	sc	subcutaneous
Hg	mercury	TCP	3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol
HI	hazard index	TTD	target-organ toxicity dose
IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer	µg	microgram
IEUBK	Integrated Exposure Uptake Biokinetic	µmole	micromole
ip	intraperitoneal	U.S.	United States
IPCS	International Programme on Chemical Safety	VOC	volatile organic compound
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System	WHO	World Health Organization
iv	intravenous	WOE	weight-of-evidence
kg	kilogram	ZPP	zinc protoporphyrin
L	liter	>	greater than
LC ₅₀	median lethal concentration (produces desired effect in 50% of the population)	≥	greater than or equal to
LD ₅₀	median lethal dose (produces desired effect in 50% of the population)	=	equal to
LOAEL	lowest-observed-adverse-effect level	<	less than
LSE	Levels of Significant Exposure	≤	less than or equal to
MeHg	methylmercury		
mg	milligram		
MRL	Minimal Risk Level		
MTD	maximum threshold dose		
NHANES	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey		
nM	nanomole		
NOAEL	no-observed-adverse-effect level		